

COPY

1 Matt Olavi, Esq. (Bar No. 265945)  
 2 molavi@olavidunne.com  
 3 Brian J. Dunne, Esq. (Bar No. 275689)  
 4 bdunne@olavidunne.com  
 5 **OLAVI DUNNE LLP**  
 6 800 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 320  
 7 Los Angeles, California 90017  
 8 Telephone: (213) 516-7900  
 9 Facsimile: (213) 516-7910

10 *Attorneys for Plaintiff Eclipse IP LLC*

11 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
 12 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

13 ECLIPSE IP LLC, a Florida Limited  
 14 Liability Company,

15 Plaintiff,

16 v.

17 GROUNDLINK, LLC, a Delaware  
 18 Corporation, GROUNDLINK  
 19 HOLDINGS LLC, a Delaware  
 20 Corporation, GROUNDLINK  
 21 HOLDINGS II LLC, a Delaware  
 22 Corporation,

23 Defendants.

Case No.

**CV 13-07038**

**NOTICE OF RELATED CASES**

2013 SEP 23 PM 2:28  
 CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
 CENTRAL DIST. OF CALIF.  
 LOS ANGELES

FILED

**NOTICE OF RELATED CASES**

1 TO: THE CLERK OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE  
2 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA:

3 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT, the following cases filed in the United  
4 States District Court for the Central District of California and pending in that Court  
5 are related to this action under Local Rule 83-1.3:

6  
7 *Eclipse IP LLC v. Flywheel Software, Inc.*, Case No. 2:13-cv-06371-SJO-JC  
8 (the "Flywheel Action");

9  
10 In this action, Eclipse IP LLC ("Eclipse") is asserting claims for  
11 infringement of United States Patent Nos. 7,064,681 ("the '681 patent"), 7,482,952  
12 ("the '952 patent"), 7,479,899 ("the '899 patent"), 7,479,901 ("the '901 patent"), and  
13 7,538,691 ("the '691 patent") against Groundlink, LLC, Groundlink Holdings LLC,  
14 Groundlink Holdings II LLC (collectively, "Groundlink").

15  
16  
17 In the Flywheel Action, Eclipse is also asserting claims for infringement of  
18 the '681, '952, '899, '901, and '691 patents against Flywheel Software, Inc., another  
19 on-demand car service company that, like Groundlink, offers an electronic service  
20 that allows users to use their smartphone or table to request a pickup from a given  
21 location. Therefore, this case will involve overlapping issues of law and fact,  
22 including claim construction and validity of the '681, '952, '899, '901, and '691  
23 patents.

24  
25  
26 ///

27  
28 ///

1 As such, the cases identified above are likely to raise the same or  
2 substantially identical questions of fact and law as this action, and are likely to  
3 entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges.  
4

5  
6 DATED: September 23, 2013

OLAVI DUNNE LLP

7 By: /s/ Matt Olavi

8  
9 Matt Olavi  
10 Brian J. Dunne  
11 *Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
12 *Eclipse IP LLC*  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28